

New ACP-EU Trade Arrangements: New *Barriers* to Eradicating Poverty?

By GAWU, DHS, CIECA, ADEID, GRAPAD and *EUROSTEP*

Brussels, March 2004

Authors and editors:

This study was developed jointly by *Eurostep* and the following researchers:

Fiona Black (DHS) for the chapter on Jamaica

Miosotis Rivas Peña (CIECA) for the chapter on the Dominican Republic

Aurélien Atidegla (GRAPAD) for the chapter on Benin

Kingsley Ofei Nkansah (GAWU) for the chapter on Ghana

Michel Takam and Guy Patrice Dkamela (ADEID) for the chapter on Cameroon

The research was coordinated by Guggi Laryea (*Eurostep*), who also edited the study and authored the introduction and the conclusions chapters. The conclusions of the study are agreed by all of the authors.

...Published by *Eurostep*

INTRODUCTION By Guggi Laryea [Page 9]

...The Cotonou Agreement - the framework for partnership between the African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) Group of countries and the European Union – states the overall goal of the ACP-EU₂ partnership as centred on poverty reduction and eradication consistent with sustainable development and the gradual integration of the ACP countries into the world economy.

...This study describes how proposed ACP-EU trade arrangements – Economic Partnership Agreements - could impact on the fight against poverty in five ACP countries: Jamaica, the Dominican Republic, Ghana, Benin and Cameroon.

3. AIM OF STUDY [Page 13]

The main focus of the study is on examining what the different consequences are for people in the ACP with the removal of tariff barriers to EU products on the one hand, and the easing of non-tariff barriers to ACP products on the other.

While the impact of tariff barriers such as import duties and quotas are well known in their restriction of the free flow of trade, non-tariff measures such as standards¹³, Sanitary – Phytosanitary standards¹⁴, and rules of origin¹⁵, effect on trade is less well researched. In theory most non-tariff measures are supposed to facilitate trade relations by providing relevant information about products being traded such as their quality, origin and safety. However, in practice they may act as effective barriers to trade by disqualifying goods from entering markets through complex and unnecessary conditions.¹⁶

¹⁶ National Foreign Trade Council, “*The European Union in particular uses standards as barriers to trade and is aggressive in promoting its standards in third countries in order to gain competitive advantage*”. “US Commerce Under Secretary for International Trade Grant Aldonas”, cited in *Looking Behind the Curtain: The Growth of Trade Barriers that Ignore Sound Science*, INC, May 2003.

[italics emphasis in original]

...The conclusions of this analysis are that if EPAs are based on liberalised trade between the EU and the ACP countries, rather than advance poverty reduction, they will set back poverty reduction programmes and strategies in the ACP and undermine the Cotonou Agreement, with regard in particular to the promotion of social sector funding...